

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND OBJECTIONS RECEIVED OBJECTING TO / COMMENTING ON THE PROPOSED PROVISION OF WAITING RESTRICTIONS IN CUFFS LANE, DUCK STREET & HINDON LANE AND OFFICER RESPONSE

| Comment Ref. No. | Comment | No. of Times Received | Officer Response |
|------------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| 1 | <p>The resident is pleased that the proposals are being implemented and comments that the vehicles that regularly park have no regard for safety or the highway code. The vehicles are all too close to a busy road and on the brow of a hill. Has frequent comments from residents about vehicles parking close to the telephone box. Large vehicle damage the bank in order to get past parked cars.</p> | 1 | The comments are noted. |
| 2 | <p>I regularly exit Duck Street at this point and fully support this proposal. Traffic passing the junction from Hindon Lane en route to Tisbury High Street or Cuffs Lane are not required to stop and are often moving quickly. Vehicles parked here reduce visibility of this traffic sometimes to the extent that it cannot be seen clearly until I have moved forwards out of Duck Street and into the line of passing vehicles. There have been a few near misses.</p> <p>The problem is compounded when vehicles are parked on either side of the entrance to Duck Street when traffic coming from both the left and right cannot be seen clearly until it is almost at the junction.</p> | 1 | The comments are noted. |
| 3 | <p>I am pleased to support the proposed changes to waiting restrictions at the junction of Cuffs Lane, Duck Street and Hindon Lane, Tisbury with one caveat.</p> <p>I notice from the plan that you propose to place double yellow lines down the top of Duck Street and outside the property known as Barwell. This is the only place that I am able to park my car due to other parking restrictions in the area.</p> <p>It was myself who first approached Tisbury Parish Council to seek double yellow lines at the top of Cuffs lane opposite my property which had been damaged on 4 separate occasions by vehicular traffic blocked by parking opposite.</p> | 1 | The length of restriction that this objector is asking to be removed from the TRO is within 10 metres of a junction; which the Highway Code advises should be avoided. This section of restriction was specifically added at the request of the Parish Council, and in light of the comments by both of the above respondents Wiltshire Council does not feel able to recommend that it should be removed from the Order. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | <p>I am grateful that this issue will now be addressed which will safeguard my property from damage going forward. Thank you.</p> <p>However the proposed plans as they stand will cause a great deal of personal inconvenience should I be unable to park my car just beyond the Telephone Box as the road goes down Duck Street. I believe that this causes no obstruction to local residents or to traffic using Duck Street.</p> <p>Neither will it affect the sensible plan to keep the junction free of parking. I ask that you reconsider the plans as proposed and allow a car park space for one car.</p> | | |
| 4 | <p>As much as I agree with the proposed double yellow lines, I would prefer that you carried them on further down Cuffs Lane.</p> <p>At the present time I find it dangerous most days driving out of my drive. On your map it shows these lines will stop before my house, leaving room for people to park either side, which makes it impossible to see what is coming in either direction.</p> <p>So to sum up, all that has been achieved is that you have moved the parking problem to Cuffs Lane with your proposed plan, as these cars still need to park somewhere.</p> <p>If I do have an accident getting out of my drive, you will be hearing from me, as the council would of had a hand in causing it.</p> <p>Tisbury is a growing village with no extra public parking. The one car park is always full, the high street cannot take the extra traffic, most roads in the village you have to take your life in your hand as you cannot see what is coming.</p> <p>These lines are not adequate and a lot more needs to be done to make it safe walking and driving in Tisbury.</p> | 1 | <p>Wiltshire Council is unable to extend the proposed restrictions without re-advertising. Requests for further waiting restrictions should be made via Tisbury Parish Council.</p> <p>Any suggestions for improvements to walking and driving should be discussed with the Parish Council to gain support and can then be submitted for discussion via the Area Board website.</p> |